## The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

#### An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

February 2024

Editor's Note: It Was a Very Good Year... Victoria Prussen Spears

Community Reinvestment Act Rule Overhaul Finalized by U.S. Banking Regulators Matthew Bisanz, Kris D. Kully, Tori K. Shinohara, Jeffrey P. Taft and Kerri Elizabeth Webb

Navigating the Current State of Financial Services for Marijuana Businesses and Preparing for Future Changes in Cannabis Banking
Jess Cheng and Troy K. Jenkins

### Implications of the SAFER Banking Act for the Cannabis Industry Ryan L. O'Neill and David M. Stewart

Loans Are Not Securities—Widely Accepted Premise Underpinning the Syndicated Loan Market Reconfirmed

Larry G. Halperin and Joon P. Hong

Generative AI and the Financial Services Industry—Risks According to the International Monetary Fund
Cail Wyn Evans

Federal Court Upholds FTC's Expanded Interpretation of Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act Pretexting Ioana Gorecki

A Tale of Two Chapters—"Recognizing" the Significant Differences Between Chapter 15 and Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Cases

Stephen E. Hessler, Anthony R. Grossi, Carrie Li, Christopher Cheng, Gordon Davidson, Renee Xiong, Ameneh Bordi and Juliana Hoffman

#### In the Courts

Michael R. O'Donnell and James V. Mazewski



# THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 141	NUMBER 2	rebruary	2024
Editor's Note: It Was a Very Victoria Prussen Spears	Good Year		49
<b>Community Reinvestment Ad Regulators</b>	ct Rule Overhaul Finalized by U.S. Banking		
Matthew Bisanz, Kris D. Kull Webb	y, Tori K. Shinohara, Jeffrey P. Taft and Kerri Eliz	zabeth	51
Navigating the Current State	e of Financial Services for Marijuana Businesse	s and	
<b>Preparing for Future Change</b>			
Jess Cheng and Troy K. Jenkin	ns		63
<b>Implications of the SAFER I</b> Ryan L. O'Neill and David M	Banking Act for the Cannabis Industry . Stewart		68
Loan Market Reconfirmed	Videly Accepted Premise Underpinning the Sync	dicated	71
Larry G. Halperin and Joon P.	Hong		/ 1
<b>International Monetary Fund</b>	cial Services Industry—Risks According to the		
Cail Wyn Evans			74
	's Expanded Interpretation of Gramm-Leach-B	liley	
Act Pretexting Ioana Gorecki			78
A Tale of Two Chapters—"R Chapter 15 and Chapter 11	ecognizing" the Significant Differences Between	1	
Stephen E. Hessler, Anthony F	R. Grossi, Carrie Li, Christopher Cheng, ag, Ameneh Bordi and Juliana Hoffman		84
In the Courts			
Michael R O'Donnell and Iar	nes V Mazewski		90



#### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the <b>Editorial Content</b> appearing in these volumes or reprint permission,				
please call or email:				
Matthew T. Burke at	(800) 252-9257			
Email: matthew.t.burke(	@lexisnexis.com			
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters,				
please call or email:				
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385			
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341			
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/				
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call				
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293			

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print) ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print) Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

### Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

#### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

#### STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **EDITOR**

#### VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

#### **CARLETON GOSS**

Partner, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

#### **DOUGLAS LANDY**

White & Case LLP

#### PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

#### TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

#### STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Steptoe & Johnson LLP

#### **ANDREW OLMEM**

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2024 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park. NY 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

### Implications of the SAFER Banking Act for the Cannabis Industry

#### By Ryan L. O'Neill and David M. Stewart\*

The Secure And Fair Enforcement Regulation Banking Act, if enacted, will allow American banks to serve the cannabis industry. The authors of this article discuss the implications.

American banks may finally be allowed to serve the cannabis industry without fear of federal repercussions, thanks to the "Secure And Fair Enforcement Regulation Banking Act," or "SAFER" Banking Act, for short. Earlier versions of the bill, such as the "SAFE" Act, have been introduced since 2013 but died on the Hill despite bipartisan support. But with Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-NY) backing the SAFER Banking Act, there may be light at the end of the tunnel. The bill has several implications for banks and the cannabis industry.

#### THE IMPLICATIONS

First, the bill would let banks provide financial services to cannabis-related businesses (CRB). Under current law, banks might risk prosecution<sup>4</sup> for, among other things, money laundering, should they accept deposits from a CRB. And although the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) has issued guidelines to help banks do business with CRBs, most banks remain hesitant to shake hands with the cannabis industry until there is a change in the law. Without a place to bank, many CRBs—operating in full compliance with state law—are forced to operate only in cash and get "creative"<sup>5</sup> in finding ways to safely secure profits.

The SAFER Banking Act recognizes this problem. If passed, no longer could federal regulators "penalize a depository institution" for providing financial services to a state-sanctioned marijuana business "solely because the business .

<sup>\*</sup> Ryan L. O'Neill is a partner and David M. Stewart is an associate with Riker Danzig LLP. They may be contacted at roneill@riker.com and dstewart@riker.com, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.cato.org/commentary/unlock-cannabis-industry-financial-institutions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S. 1323/H.R. 2891, https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/senate-bill/2860/text?s=1&r=1#toc-HFCF5E94E84F946E2A6234A5C77810233.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.marijuanamoment.net/schumer-commits-to-bring-newly-revised-marijuanabanking-bill-to-the-floor-with-cannabis-expungements-and-gun-rights-amendments/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/oip/legacy/2014/07/23/dag-guidance-2011-for-medical-marijuana-use.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://money.cnn.com/2013/04/29/smallbusiness/marijuana-cash/index.html.

. . is a State-sanctioned marijuana business." And for purposes of the United States Criminal Code, "the proceeds from marijuana-related activities of a State-sanctioned marijuana business" are no longer considered "proceeds from an unlawful activity" just because they stem from a CRB.7 The Act also ensures that financial institutions remain eligible for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation protections should they work with the cannabis industry. So, if the SAFER Banking Act becomes law, no longer will banks and industry be left looking at each other through the glass—the industry can happily bank with a financial institution eager to accept its business.

Second, by bringing CRBs into the regulated-banking system, the SAFER Banking Act would promote transparency and accountability. Although the American legal cannabis industry is relatively young, the banking industry is not. Welcoming the cannabis sector into the world of mainstream banking means subjecting it (and its books) to the same scrutiny as everyday businesses. In exchange for the security of a checking account, the cannabis industry sheds the secrecy of cash.

Third, although the SAFER Banking Act would let banks take deposits from the cannabis sector, it does not legalize cannabis at the federal level. While the Biden administration has teased<sup>9</sup> the idea of taking cannabis off the Schedule 1 drug list, under current law,<sup>10</sup> cannabis has "no currently accepted medical use and a high potential for abuse." As long as cannabis is a Schedule 1 drug, banks serving CRBs may face increased regulatory scrutiny, such as enhanced reporting requirements and compliance obligations. We saw a similar trend with the advent of legal sports wagering. Ultimately, the financial sector must decide if the juice is worth the squeeze.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Overall, the SAFER Banking Act presents an opportunity for banks to enter a growing industry without fear of federal blowback. But banks also need to navigate the potential challenges of doing so.

Importantly, the Senate Banking Committee now has approved the Act, with amendments, by a bipartisan 14-9 vote. Since then, groups such as Bank of

**<sup>6</sup>** S. 2860, 118th Cong. § 3. (a)(5) (2023).

**<sup>7</sup>** S. 2860 § 4.

**<sup>8</sup>** S. 2860 § 3.

https://www.politico.com/news/2023/08/30/marijuana-review-move-to-schedule-iii-00113493.

https://www.dea.gov/drug-information/drug-scheduling#:~:text=Schedule%20I%20drugs%2C%20substances%2C%20or,)%2C%20methaqualone%2C%20and%20peyote.

#### The Banking Law Journal

America and Mastercard have disclosed lobbying expenditures<sup>11</sup> related to the bill, which will now head to the Senate floor.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathbf{11}}$  https://www.forbes.com/sites/dariosabaghi/2023/10/23/bank-of-america-nra-and-mastercard-are-lobbying-on-marijuana-banking/?sh=31d315fab22f.